| | | Q23 Are there any additional aspects which should | | Resolved | Justification | Recommended change |
|-----|-----|---|----------|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Q# | URN | be included in the GSTC-Industry Section D? | Criteria | | | |
| | | D3 should include a sub-aspect asking the company | D | No change | A8 refers to providing customers | |
| | | to provide a space for biodiversity education, | | required | with information about and | |
| | 23 | explaining what the native and endemic flora and | | | interpretation of | |
| | | fauna are. | | | 'naturalenvironment' and 'natural | |
| Q25 | | | | | surroundings' | |
| | | Interpretation of Flora and Fauna, Climate Change | D | No change | A8 refers to providing customers | |
| | | | | required | with information about and | |
| | | | | | interpretation of | |
| | | | | | 'naturalenvironment' and 'natural | |
| Q23 | 52 | | | | surroundings' | |
| | | Intrepretation and Guiding | | _ | A8 refers to providing customers | |
| | | | | required | with information about and | |
| | | | | | interpretation of | |
| | | | | | 'naturalenvironment' and 'natural | |
| Q23 | 31 | | D | | surroundings'. | |
| | | communication to guests. Its should be mandatory | | | Address through new criterion in | Add new criterion AX: |
| | | for organisations to communicate about their | | | Section A concerning communication | |
| | | program to guests | | | | communication. The |
| | | | | | | organisation communicates |
| | | | | | | its sustainability policy, |
| | | | | | | actions and performance to |
| | | | | | | stakeholders, including |
| | | | | | | customers, and seeks to |
| Q23 | 113 | | D | | | engage their support. |
| | | About biodiversity recuperation directly by tourism | | _ | D3.4 deals with the organisation's | |
| | | | | required | support for and contribution to | |
| Q23 | 26 | | D | | biodiversity conservation. | |

| | 17 | aspects related to active participation in key sector support programs and decision making boards (evironmental protection and conservation specific, affecting destination development, professional qualification, entrepreneurship, innovation, quality, education, good practice promotion and its integration in the tourism sector, etc.), private, public or mixed, could be adressed here History and evolution of sector/ business response to | D | Partially accepted | Consider new criterion in Section A requiring engagement with sustainable tourism planning and management in the destination, where this exists | Add new criterion: AX Destination engagement. The organisation is involved with sustainable tourism planning and management in the destination. |
|-----|-----|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| Q25 | | referenced criteria could be adressed here. Include Leave No Trace principles | | Partially . | Visitor behaviour in natural sites is | Add new criterion: "D3.X |
| | | | | accepted | partly covered in A8 (Information and interpretation) and may possibly be strengthened through a new criterion in D3. | Visits to natural sites. The organisation follows appropriate guidelines for the management and promotion of visits to natural sites in order to minimise adverse impacts and maximise visitor |
| Q23 | 53 | | D | | | fulfilment." |
| Q23 | 5 | The educational element | D | No change required | This is addressed in various places | |
| | | Impact assessment | | _ | Reference is made to impact assessment in A6.2 (Respect heritage). Addressing and measuring impacts is referred to in various | |
| Q23 | 102 | | D | Accomtod | criteria within Section D | Davious Coation Davitoria for |
| Q25 | | For any of this to make sense to owners of companies that are on the fence about becoming sustainable and are looking to industry leaders for guidance, we should encourage the collection of metrics | D | Accepted | Certain criteria in Section D require that performance is measured. There may be scope for this to be applied to additional criteria. | Review Section D criteria for additional opportunities to incorporate measurement. |

| | | consider a synergy of local economy/people's life | | No change | These inter-relationships are | |
|-----|-----|---|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | and nature protection, e.g. training people to use | | required | reflected in the Criteria | |
| Q23 | 132 | sustainable agriculture/forestry | D | | | |
| | | Make conferences and courses about all this aspects | | Partially | While a requirement to run courses | Add new criterion AX: |
| | | to the costumers, make them consiencious about all | | accepted | and conferences for visitors would | Reporting and |
| | | of this | | | be inappropriate, this will be partly | communication. The |
| | | | | | addressed through a new criterion in | organisation communicates |
| | | | | | Section A covering communication | its sustainability policy, |
| | | | | | | actions and performance to |
| | | | | | | stakeholders, including |
| | | | | | | customers, and seeks to |
| Q23 | 78 | | D | | | engage their support. |
| | | environmental education | | Partially | While this is reflected in various | Add new criterion AX: |
| | | | | accepted | aspects of the Criteria, it will be | Reporting and |
| | | | | | helped by a new criterion in Section | communication. The |
| | | | | | A concerning communication of | organisation communicates |
| | | | | | sustainability actions. May possibly | its sustainability policy, |
| | | | | | be strengthened through a new | actions and performance to |
| | | | | | criterion in D3 | stakeholders, including |
| | | | | | | customers, and seeks to |
| | | | | | | engage their support. Add |
| | | | | | | new criterion: "D3.X Visits to |
| | | | | | | natural sites. The organisation |
| | | | | | | follows appropriate |
| | | | | | | guidelines for the |
| | | | | | | management and promotion |
| | | | | | | of visits to natural sites in |
| | | | | | | order to minimise adverse |
| | | | | | | impacts and maximise visitor |
| Q23 | 91 | | D | | | fulfilment." |
| | | All entities need to find ways to 'recycle' to help the | | • | This positive suggestion is broadly | Guidance to encourage |
| | | local communities. I.E., ways to reuse plastics to use | | | covered in D1.1 (Local purchasing) | sourcing of locally recycled |
| | | in flooring, etc. | | | although can be strengthened in | materials |
| Q23 | 112 | | D | | guidance. | |

| | | Additionally, in line with a separate section on biodiversity conservation, to also include an additional section D4, covering animal welfare. Over the past years a growing group of tour operators have adopted animal welfare policies, and travel industry associations, like ABTA and ANVR have set welfare guideline for animals in tourism. It is logical that GSTC further embeds welfare criteria as separate criteria, in line with already existing | | Rejected (with justificatio n) | While recognising the importance of animal welfare, it is recommended that these concerns are addressed through amendments to D3.2 and D3.5. | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|--|
| Q23 | 144 | guidelines. | D | | | |
| Q25 | 144 | 1. Change title of Section D to "Maximize benefits to the environment and wildlife and minimize negative impacts" to recognise the role of and implications to wild animals in the environment, but also those taken from natural habitats, or bred in captivity, to be used in attractions, excursions and events that are promoted to tourists. | D | n) | Section D covers a whole range of important issues to do with the environment, of which wildlife is just one. Individual criteria in D.3 cover wildlife where appropriate | |
| 022 | | contribution (beside financial one) of visitors to nature conservation | ח | Partially accepted | focussed on culturally or historical sensitive sites, as is C3 (Site protection and access). There is no directly comparable criterion for natural areas. D3.4 (Biodiversity conservation) is about the | Add new criterion: "D3.X Visits to natural sites. The organisation follows appropriate guidelines for the management and promotion of visits to natural sites in order to minimise adverse impacts and maximise visitor fulfilment." |
| Q23 | 48 | | ט | | reference to visitor involvement. | |

| Q16 | | | | Partially | C1 (Code of behaviour - visits) is | Add new criterion: "D3.X |
|-----|-----|--|----------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Criterion A8 seems to me very relevant to criteria | | accepted | focussed on culturally or historical | Visits to natural sites. The |
| | | C1, C3 and C4 of Section C about cultural heritage. In | | | sensitive sites, as is C3 (Site | organisation follows |
| | | my opinion, as it is now, it creates a repetition and | | | protection and access). There is no | appropriate guidelines for the |
| | | might link better if incorporated in the latter | | | directly comparable criterion for | management and promotion |
| | 67 | Section. However, a criterion providing "Information | | | natural areas. D3.4 (Biodiversity | of visits to natural sites in |
| | | about and interpretation of the natural | | | conservation) is about the | order to minimise adverse |
| | | surroundings, as well as explaining appropriate | | | organisation's support for and | impacts and maximise visitor |
| | | behaviour while visiting natural areas" would need | | | contribution to biodiversity | fulfilment." |
| | | to be added in Section D also. | | | conservation, but makes no | |
| | | | D | | reference to visitor involvement. | |
| | | | | Rejected | This has been given careful | |
| | | | | (with | consideration. It is important that | |
| | 99 | together C & D. | D | justificatio | GSTC-I maintains a clear line | |
| | | | | n) | between cultural heritage and the | |
| Q25 | | | | | environment. | |
| | | Overall it would be nice to separate out hotels | | Partially | Agreed should review this when | Review separation of Hotels |
| | | from tour operators, particularly with Section D | | accepted | dealing with indicators. | and Tour Operators when |
| | 65 | criteria. While the criteria are valid for both, I | D | | | dealing with the indicators. |
| | | believe the indicators/guidance would look different | | | | |
| Q25 | | for each group. | | | | |
| | | Same as 17 re: stronger requirements than | | Rejected | Throughout GSTC-I and GSTC-D, the | |
| | | "minimize negative impacts". | | (with | prevailing language is to minimise | |
| | | | | justificatio | (negative or adverse) impacts. | |
| Q23 | 94 | | D | n) | | |
| | | Innovation in alternative energy/water | | Accepted | Innovative solutions are not | Strengthen reference to |
| | | management/waste management, sustainable | | | precluded and should perhaps be | innovation in guidance for |
| | | infrastructure and materials, sustainable funding | | | encouraged, maybe through | D1.3, D1.4, D2.1, D2.5 |
| Q23 | 59 | sources, | D | 5 | guidance | |
| | | Under "Conserving resources", you could add a sub- | | Partially | This can be addressed through D1.1 | Add to D1.1 guidance. Best |
| | | section to relate to the sustainable use of food. | | accepted | guidance which can encourage | practice is to plan the |
| | | | <u> </u> | | planning food ordering and storage | ordering and storage of food |
| Q23 | 142 | | D1 | | such as to minimise waste. | in order to minimise waste. |

| Q25 | 142 | You might want to consider renaming the "Consuming resources" section "Sustainable production and consumption of resources", so that tourism enterprises are encouraged to focus on the way their product is both designed and delivered. | D1 | (with | While a change to 'Responsible Consumption and Production' would reflect SDG12, 'Conserving resources' reflects the goal for D1 and mirrors the style of titles for D2 and D3 | |
|------|-----|---|------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Q25 | 77 | Generally, incentives are missing to continuously minimize pollution/consumption e.g. benchmark in the first year, afterwards minimizing pollution/consumption year after year (D1.3 Energy; D1.4 Water; D2.2 Transport greenhouse gas emissions; D2.4 Waste etc.) Guidance should include scientific based performance metrics which are measurable and auditable (e.g. Maximum of total volume of water in kiloliters consumed per source per specific tourist activity per guest) | D1 | Partially accepted | Certain criteria in Section D require that performance is measured. There may be scope for this to be applied to additional criteria. | Review Section D criteria for additional opportunities to incorporate measurement. |
| | | SUGGEST THIS MOVES TO B.3.2; | | Rejected | Clarify the distinction between D1.1 | Rename D1.1 as |
| | | | | (with | and B3 through rewording D1.1. | 'Environmentally Preferable |
| ISME | 4.5 | | D4 4 | justificatio | | Purchasing'. |
| ISWG | | An Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Policy | D1.1 | n) Accepted | | Rename D1.1 as |
| | | (EPPP) | | Accepted | | 'Environmentally Preferable |
| Q23 | 34 | (<i>)</i> | D1.1 | | | Purchasing'. |
| | | An EPPP should cover in general: Purchases contain materials from forests certified to standards such as (PEFC) or (FSC). Purchases are sourced from suppliers that use renewable energy. Tissue and paper purchases specify unbleached or Totally Chlorine Free (TCF), Process Chlorine Free (PCF), Enhanced Elemental Chlorine Free (EECF) or Elemental Chlorine Free (ECF). Paints, carpeting, adhesives, furniture, and similar purchases specify low volatile organic compounds (VOC) content, low | D1.1 | Partially accepted | | Summarise in Guidance in D1.1 |
| Q25 | | or no formaldehyde. | | | | |

| | | SUSTAINABILITY OF FOOD A Sustainable Food | | Partially | This can be addressed through | Review D1.1 and D1.2 |
|------|-------|--|------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | BenLe | Purchasing Policy is developed and respected at all | | accepted | guidance for D1.1 and D1.2 which | guidance |
| | Bente | time. | | | can encourage planning food | |
| | þ | | | | ordering and storage such as to | |
| ISWG | | | D1.1 | | minimise waste. | |
| | | Regional sustainable (biological) food, | | Partially | This can be addressed through | Review D1.1 and D1.2 |
| | | | | accepted | guidance for D1.1 and D1.2 which | guidance |
| | | | | | can encourage planning food | |
| | | | | | ordering and storage such as to | |
| Q23 | 66 | | D1.1 | | minimise waste. | |
| | | | | Partially | This can be addressed through | Review D1.1 and D1.2 |
| | | Improve in first , the reduced requirements and | | accepted | guidance for D1.1 and D1.2 which | guidance |
| | 128 | Improve in first: the reduced requirements and | D1.1 | | can encourage planning food | |
| | | sobriety. | | | ordering and storage such as to | |
| Q25 | | | | | minimise waste. | |
| | | Can this combined with criteria B3?; IS Shouldn't | | Partially | B3 deals with selection of goods and | Rename D1.1 as |
| | | local purchasing also include services (as using local | | accepted | services that support the local | 'Environmentally Preferable |
| | JK | guides etc); | | | economy. D1.1 deals with the | Purchasing'. |
| | 11/ | | | | selection of products based on | |
| | | | | | minimising their envirnmental | |
| ISWG | | | D1.1 | | impact. | |
| | | | | Accepted | | Reword D1.1 as follows: D1.1 |
| | | | | | | Environmentally preferable |
| | | D1.1 Local numbersing IN D1.1 a consumables | | | | purchasing. Purchasing |
| | | D1.1 Local purchasing -IN-D1.1.aconsumables | | | | policies favor environmentally |
| | 77 | clearly favors local and/or ecologically sustainable products. / Please delete "or". Unsustainable local | D1.1 | | | sustainable products, |
| | | • | | | | including capital goods, food, |
| | | products must definitely be avoided. | | | | beverages, building materials |
| | | | | | | and consumables. |
| Q25 | | | | | | |
| | | Certified sustainable purchasing: Engagement with | | Partially | Sustainability certification has | Guidance to include use of |
| | | and/or choice for certified tourism | | accepted | limited coverage but can be used to | certification to identify |
| Q23 | 137 | products/suppliers (e.g. hotel, guide, transport etc.) | D1.1 | | aid purchasing decisions | sustainable purchases |

| Q25 | 137 | D.1.1. Add: No use or selling of any genetically modified products. | D1.1 | (with | Not appropriate to single out specific types of product. GM issues are subject of debate. | |
|-----|-----|--|------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Q25 | 135 | The definition of "local" can vary greatly; e.g from Nevis (which might or might not also refer to St.Kitts) to a place like Yellowknife (which might or might not also refer to Canadaor). Needs to be considered if it is to be a criterion. No food is grown in Yellowknife, only occasionally hunted | D1.1 | Partially accepted | Accept that concept of local and practicability will vary according to context | Review definition of 'local' in glossary to include concept of gradation by distance |
| Q23 | 24 | food | D1.1 | No change required | Is referred to in D1.1 | |
| Q23 | 130 | Ethical, fair trade purchasing | D1.1 | No change required | Covered in B3 | |
| Q17 | 32 | Local Prodcuts Certification Schemes | D1.1 | Partially accepted | Sustainability certification has limited coverage but can be used to aid purchasing decisions | Guidance to include use of certification to identify sustainable purchases |
| Q24 | 147 | D1.1 better in B | D1.1 | (with | B covers social and economic impact of purchasing while D1.1 covers environmental impact of purchasing. Accept that this could be clearer in the wording. | Rename D1.1 as 'Environmentally Preferable Purchasing'. |
| Q23 | 45 | Fair trade | D1.1 | No change required | Covered in B3 | |
| Q25 | 45 | Give more importance to local consumption and fair trade | D1.1 | No change required | Covered in B3 | |

| ISWG | | The purchase and use of disposable and consumable goods is measured (WHERE POSSIBLE); AGREED WITH ER: "THE organization actively seeks ways to reduce their use" NEEDS TO BE SEPARATED OUT; | D1.2 | Accepted | and that the emphasis should be on reducing use not on the emasurement process. | Take measurement out of criteria and put into indicators or guidance. Reword criterion D1.2 as follows: "D1.2 Consumable goods. The organisation actively seeks to reduce the purchase and use of disposable, consumable and perishible goods, including food." |
|------|-----|---|------|----------|---|--|
| ISWG | | MEASURING DISPOSABLE AND CONSUMABLE GOODS IS A MASIVE TASK FOR SMALL PROPERTIES WITH NO RESOURCES; | D1.2 | Accepted | | The state of the s |
| ISWG | ER | Criteria and the indicator are covering several different topics each with different managerial approach. Suggest reworking this criteria, separating out or clearly defining what the purpose is within the criteria, which appears to involve 1)reducing disposables 2)reducing packaging and waste 3)purchase of recycled products, 4)purchase of reusable goods, 5)waste management practices, and 6)measuring ongoing consumables. | D1.2 | Accepted | | |
| Q25 | 128 | in 2 : efficiency in action and equipments | D1.1 | _ | D1.1 covers the selection and purchase of capital goods on environmental grounds. | |

| | | • IN-D1.2b: I would add an indicator around | | Partially | This is covered by D2.4 Waste. | Consider overlap and |
|------|-----|---|------|--------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | recycling policy and provision of refillable water | | accepted | Guidance can refer to reusing or | differentiation between D1.2 |
| | | bottles and refills to avoid plastic bottle | | | eliminating packaging. | (disposable goods) and D2.4 |
| | 65 | consumption. | D1.2 | | | (waste) and look at wording |
| | | | | | | of criteria, indicators and |
| Q25 | | | | | | guidance |
| | | D1.2 NO FOAM PRODUCTS IN ISLAND NATIONS | | Rejected | While this is desirable, it is too | |
| | | | | (with | detailed an issue to be covered | |
| | | | | justificatio | specifically in the GSTC-I at this level | |
| Q23 | 121 | | D1.2 | n) | | |
| | | Final destination verification of waste products | | No change | This is contained in D2.4. The | |
| | | leaving tourism sector is paramount to preserving | | required | requirement that "residual waste | |
| | | and advancing the industry. Tourism clusters and | | | disposal has no adverse effect on the | |
| | | high traffic locations have a moral responsibility to | | | local population and the | |
| | 121 | invest in proper waste management by paying a fair | D1.2 | | environment" would require the | |
| | | price for waste services. Taking advantage of the | | | organisation to take responsibility | |
| | | local population through bidding wars for this | | | for the final destination of the waste | |
| | | service increases the chances for illegal dumping if | | | and of its management. | |
| Q25 | | final destination certification is not required. | | | | |
| | | A source of energy is different from the type of | | Accepted | | Change D1.3 to read "Energy |
| | | energy, and most common corporate reporting | | | | consumption is measured by |
| | | frameworks and ISO refer to energy by type. Also as | | | | type, and measures are |
| | | worded, it is unclear whether the organization | | | | adopted to minimize overall |
| | | encourages customers to use renewable energy, or | | | | consumption. The |
| | ER | the certification encourages the organization to use | | | | organization makes efforts to |
| | | renewable energy. Suggested edited criterion: | | | | increase its use of renewable |
| | | "Energy consumption is measured, by each type, and | | | | energy." |
| | | measures are adopted to minimize overall | | | | |
| | | consumption. The organization makes efforts to | | | | |
| ISWG | | increase use of renewable energy." | D1.3 | | | |

| Q23 | 11 | Use or Green energy and reduce dependence of energy generate by fossil | D1.3 | accepted | Is covered in 1.3. New wording proposed to aid clarification. | Change D1.3 to read "Energy consumption is measured, by each type, and measures are adopted to minimize overall consumption. The organization makes efforts to increase use of renewable energy." |
|-------|-----|---|------|----------------------|--|---|
| Q25 | 128 | in 3 : sustainable and renewable | D1.3 | required | Is generally covered | |
| ISWG | AR | SPLIT IN TWO | D1.4 | (with justification) | Both parts of the criterion relate to water conservation and are clear. Splitting them would simply elongate the list of criteria. They can be considered as separate components anyway when assessing compliance. | |
| ISWG | BL | SPLIT IN TWO | D1.4 | (with justification) | Both parts of the criterion relate to water conservation and are clear. Splitting them would simply elongate the list of criteria. They can be considered as separate components anyway when assessing compliance. | |
| 13470 | | Note should define whether desalination is | D1.4 | Accepted | Can be covered in guidance if it can | Refer to desalination, and its |
| ISWG | ER | considered sustainable. | D1.4 | · | be treated briefly | acceptability as a sustainable water source, in guidance, |
| Q25 | 128 | in 4 : recycling, reuse, offset | D1.4 | required | Is broadly covered in the criteria. Not clear which specific criterion is referred to, if any. | |
| ISWG | IS | Water consumption is minimized, measured and monitored. Water sourcing is sustainable, and does not adversely affect environmental flows. | D1.4 | No change required | No change in meaning. | |

| | | "Source to Consumption" emissions and mitigation | | _ | Already covered | |
|-----|-----|--|----|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Q14 | 75 | | D2 | required | | |
| | | Risk management & Reduce Global Warming | | No change | This process and outcome lie behind | |
| | | | | required | the Criteria | |
| Q14 | 11 | | D2 | | | |
| | | Reduxcibng or elimating carbon footprint (with | | No change | Already covered in D2.1 | |
| | | direct action & otherwise with offsets) | | required | | |
| Q14 | 119 | | D2 | | | |
| | | Generally, incentives are missing to continuously | | Partially | Continuous improvement is implied | Consider level of guidance on |
| | | minimize pollution/consumption e.g. benchmark in | | accepted | in all D2 criteria and is widely | metrics to use |
| | | the first year, afterwards minimizing | | | recognised as good practice in | |
| | | pollution/consumption year after year (D1.3 Energy; | | | environmental management, which | |
| | | D1.4 Water; D2.2 Transport greenhouse gas | D2 | | is characterized by the continuous | |
| | ,, | emissions; D2.4 Waste etc.) Guidance should | | | improvement cycle. Guidance on | |
| | | include scientific based performance metrics which | | | specific metrics could be included | |
| | | are measurable and auditable (e.g. Maximum of | | | but may be considered to be too | |
| | | total volume of water in kiloliters consumed per | | | detailed at this level. | |
| Q25 | | source per specific tourist activity per guest) | | | | |
| | | Carbon measurement | | No change | GHG emissions would include carbon | |
| | | | | required | emissions. | |
| Q14 | 130 | | D2 | | | |
| | | D2 especially needs expanded link of impacts of | | _ | Is covered in B9 | |
| | | tourism on local communities; to include their | | required | | |
| | | access to safe water and waste treatment as well as | | | | |
| | | elaboration of ensuring impact of existing use | | | | |
| | | contributes to environmental sustainability of local | | | | |
| Q23 | 94 | services. | D2 | | | |

| | | In practical application the peed to monitor and | | Partially | The principle and requirement of | Reword D2.1 to "Significant |
|------|-----|--|------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | In practical application the need to monitor and | | accepted | measurement where possible should | _ |
| | | show reduction in usage is viewed as somewhat | | accepted | • | from all sources controlled by |
| | | bureaucratic by some NZ operators. Given that most | | | | • |
| | | are SME's, some in remote locations, some off the | | | interpreted and applied according to | |
| | | grid in regards power and water; the practicality of | | | · | identified, calculated where |
| | | measuring and monitoring is fruitless. What would | | | • | possible, and procedures are |
| | | be better is for the business to acknowledge and | | | , | implemented to minimize |
| | | accept the main resource impacts relevant to the | | | | them. Offsetting of remaining |
| | 125 | operation and location and inherent resources | D2.1 | | | emissions by the organization |
| | | available; and monitor these; rather than a more | | | | is encouraged" |
| | | general widespread set of resources. i.e. monitor | | | to be a change of wording. | |
| | | and measure where the business can make a | | | | |
| | | difference. Small operators will find it difficult and | | | | |
| | | probably meaningless to measure greenhouse gas | | | | |
| | | emissions. A focus on resource usage and reduction | | | | |
| | | is more favourable which would ultimately lead to | | | | |
| Q25 | | reduced emissions. | | | | |
| | | Re-word criterion: "Significant greenhouse gas | | Accepted | | Reword D2.1 to "Significant |
| | | emissions from all sources controlled by the | | | | greenhouse gas emissions |
| | | organization are measured, procedures are | | | | from all sources controlled by |
| | | implemented to minimize them, and offsetting | | | | the organization are |
| | ER | remaining emissions is encouraged." Should pass a | | | | identified, calculated where |
| | LIN | threshold of significance. Also as worded, it is | | | | possible, and procedures are |
| | | unclear whether the organization encourages | | | | implemented to minimize |
| | | customers to use offsets, or the certification | | | | them. Offsetting of remaining |
| | | encourages the organization to use offsets. | | | | emissions by the organization |
| ISWG | | | D2.1 | | | is encouraged" |
| | | In addition to reducing emmissions, our industry | | No change | Covered in D2.1 | |
| | | needs to be purchasing carbon offsets and inspiring | | required | | |
| Q23 | 119 | others to participate as well. | D2.1 | | | |

| | 144 | | NI a alaasaa . | C | |
|-----|---|------|----------------|---|----------------------------|
| | We need to think in terms of reducing impacts and | | | Covered in D2.1 | |
| | offsetting all impacts that we do not eliminate. See | | required | | |
| | notes on carbon off-sets in preceding pages. | | | | |
| | Companies can achieve a zero carbon footprint | | | | |
| | 119 through careful planning combined with the | D2.1 | | | |
| | purchase of carbon offsets. Our industry should be | | | | |
| | leaders on this. Besides the ethical reason to do | | | | |
| | this, no industry will be more impacted by climate | | | | |
| Q25 | change than ours. | | | | |
| | Criterion D2.1 is creating a confusion with criterion | | Partially | D2.2 is specifically about transport | Add new criterion AX: |
| | D2.2. The Greenhouse Gas Protocol (GHG Protocol) | | accepted | why D2.1 is about an organization's | Reporting and |
| | could provide a well tested and recognised | | | emissions. Emissions relating to | communication. The |
| | methodology for calculating and reporting carbon | | | transport are sufficiently important | organisation communicates |
| | footprint that considers all direct and indirect | | | for transport to have a separate | its sustainability policy, |
| | greenhouse emissions from all sources controlled by | | | criterion. It is agreed that there is a | actions and performance to |
| | the organisation including transport | | | need to ensure that visitors are | stakeholders, including |
| | [https://www.carbontrust.com/resources/guides/ca | | | engaged with all aspects of | customers, and seeks to |
| | rbon-footprinting-and-reporting/carbon-footprinting | | | sustainability activity and reducing | engage their support. |
| | download/]. Furthermore, I think that D2.2 | D2.1 | | environmental impact. This is partly | |
| | "encouraging customers, staff and suppliers to | | | addressed in Section A in relation to | |
| | reduce transportation-related green house gas | | | communications. | |
| | emissions" should extent to all aspects of their | | | | |
| | activity during vacations and beyond. After all, the | | | | |
| | GHG emissions of an organisation -particularly in the | | | | |
| | hospitality industry- are strongly affected from the | | | | |
| | individual behaviour of every guest and employee. | | | | |
| Q25 | Not only their choices for transportation. | | | | |

| | | Edit to transport only. "The organization encourages | | Accepted | Transport is a sufficiently important | Reword D2.2 as follows: D2.2 |
|------|----|---|------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | its customers, staff and suppliers to USE | | | issue in relation to the | Transport. The organization |
| | | SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT OPTIONS" 'ALL SOURCES' | | | environmental impacts of tourism to | |
| | | OF GHGS IS INCLUDED ABOVE . | | | require separate treatment. It is not | |
| | AR | | | | just about green gas emissions. | and reduced transportation |
| | | | | | | by customers, employees, |
| | | | | | | suppliers and in its own |
| ISWG | | | D2.2 | | | operations. |
| | | THE ORGANISATION IMPLEMENTS PRACTICES TO | | Partially | While this wording is an | Reword D2.2 as follows: D2.2 |
| | | MINIMISE transportation-related greenhouse gas | | accepted | improvement a more direct new | Transport. The organization |
| | | emissions. | | | wording with emphasis on transport | actively encourages the use of |
| | D. | | | | rather than emissions is preferred. | alternative (more sustainable) |
| | BL | | | | | and reduced transportation |
| | | | | | | by customers, employees, |
| | | | | | | suppliers and in its own |
| ISWG | | | D2.2 | | | operations. |
| | ER | Suggest remove criteria, add new criteria specific to | | Partially | Criterion should stay but reworded | |
| ISWG | EN | transportation. | D2.2 | accepted | | |
| | | The organization encourages the use of alternative | | Accepted | Slight modification of proposed | Change D2.2 to: The |
| | | and reduced transportation in customer | | | wording is prefered. | organization actively |
| | | transportation, operations and employee | | | | encourages the use of |
| | ER | commutes. | | | | alternative (more sustainable) |
| | EK | | | | | and reduced transportation |
| | | | | | | by customers, staff, |
| | | | | | | employees and in its own |
| ISWG | | | D2.2 | | | operations. |

| | | If we want outdoor cultural artifacts protected, we need to assure that our industry is a leader in | | Partially accepted | Point is covered in D2.1 and D2.2. Reference to customer off-setting of | Reword D2.2 as follows: D2.2 Transport. The organization |
|------|----|--|------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | | achieving a zero carbon footprint (thourhg direct | | accepted | transport could be added to | actively encourages the use of |
| | | action - like choice of non-poluting fuels - and | | | l ' | alternative (more sustainable) |
| | | through the purchase of carbon offsets, than can, for | | | 1 | and reduced transportation |
| | I | example, offeset the negative impacts of driving our | | | alternative transport options. | by customers, employees, |
| | | clients to see cultural sights using carbon fuels by | | | ' ' | suppliers and in its own |
| | | finding the prouction of grren energy to offset the | | | | operations. |
| | | damage we have just done to the atmosphere. | | | | |
| | | Carbon off-sets work well (see TerraPass in the USA - | | | | |
| Q20 | | http://www.terrapass.com/).) | | | | |
| | | | | Rejected | Transport issues are crucial to | |
| | 10 | .0 D2.2. should not be mandatory | | (with | making tourism more sustainable. | |
| | 10 | D2.2. Should not be mandatory | | justificatio | Whether 'mandatory' is a matter for | |
| Q24 | | | D2.2 | n) | the end users of the GSTC-I. | |
| Q25 | 76 | Under transport, I would say not only to encourage to reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions but to facilitate schemes to allow this (car sharing programmes for employees, safe bicycle parking space, electric cars or shuttles provided). | D2.2 | Partially accepted | guidance. | Reword D2.2 as follows: D2.2 Transport. The organization actively encourages the use of alternative (more sustainable) and reduced transportation by customers, employees, suppliers and in its own operations. |
| | | SEPARATE 1) "Wastewater, including gray water, is | | Rejected | The two elements would each be | |
| | | effectively treated and is only reused or released | | (with | considered separately anyway in the | |
| | | safely". 2) "Ensure no adverse effects to the local | | 1- | application of the standard. While | |
| | AR | population and the environment". DEALT WITH IN | | n) | second part is partially covered in B9 | |
| | | B9 – CONSIDER COMBINING | | | the latter is more about community | |
| | | | | | issues than environment. | |
| ISWG | | | D2.3 | | | |

| ISWG | AR | Split 1) "Waste is measured, mechanisms are in place to reduce waste, and where reduction is not feasible, to re-use or recycle it." 2) "Any residual waste disposal has no adverse effect on the local population and the environment." DEALT WITH IN B9 – CONSIDER COMBINING. BL: SPLIT IN TWO. Replace "where reduction is not feasible" with "as a first, best practice and to alternatively" | D2.4 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | The two elements would each be considered separately anyway in the application of the standard. While the second part is partially covered in B9 the latter is more about community issues than environment. It is arguable that 'reduce' should be the first approach to waste - so no obvious need to change this | |
|------|----|---|------|---|--|--|
| | | Recycle and Reduction cycles | | No change | reduce, reuse and recycle are all | |
| | | · | | required | mentioned in D2.4 | |
| Q23 | 75 | | D2.4 | | | |
| ISWG | IS | Food waste measured and reduced (hotel); | D2.4 | | for tourism industry. It is sometimes not specifically referenced in addressing waste management and so therefore should be identified in the criteria for 2.4 as well as being underlined in indicators and guidance. It is also a a resource management issue which needs to be addressed as an input, as in D1.2. | Emphasise importance of food waste in indicators and guidance |
| ISWG | AR | SPLIT IN TWO. AGREE WITH ER THAT A DEFINITION WITH EXAMPLES BE PROVIDED OF 'HARMFUL SUBSTANCES'. | D2.5 | Partially accepted | , , | Review definition of Harmful Substances vis a vis Hazadous Materials already in Glossary |
| Q23 | 96 | See Section A comments that suggest including an "Occupational Health and Safety" section that relates to Indicator D2.5.A | D2.5 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | Occupation health and safety are already covered in B8. This section is about environemtnal impacts | |

| | | SPLIT IN TWO. | | Rejected | The points are already divided in the | |
|------|-----|--|------|--------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | DI | | | (with | Criterion and further separation not | |
| | BL | | | justificatio | necessary | |
| ISWG | | | D2.5 | n) | | |
| | | | | Rejected | D2.5 and D2.6 are different. Use of | |
| | | There's same duplication in the list above. For a g | | (with | harmful substances is a specific issue | |
| | 118 | There's some duplication in the list above. For e.g., what's the difference between d.2.5 and d.2.6? | | justificatio | concerning inputs and their use, | |
| | | what's the difference between a.2.5 and a.2.0: | | n) | rather than just pollution control | |
| Q24 | | | D2.5 | | | |
| | | Suggest defining Harmful Substances, could place | | Accepted | | Review definition of Harmful |
| | | the "including" items into the definition along with | | | | Substances vis a vis Hazadous |
| | | others. | | | | Materials already in Glossary |
| | ER | | | | | Consider reducing the list in |
| | | | | | | the criterion accordingly and |
| | | | | | | possibly give examples in |
| | | | | | | guidance if not in glossary |
| ISWG | | | D2.5 | | | |
| | | | | Partially | Too detailed for criteria but might be | |
| | | | | | identified in definition and/or | definition and/or guidance. |
| | | D2.5 - should include identifying & eliminating toxic | | | guidance. This needs to be | |
| | 103 | sunscreens and mosquito repellents. | D2.5 | | addressed through influencing visitor | |
| | | sunscreens and mosquito repenents. | | | behaviour, including offering advice | |
| | | | | | on products purchased before | |
| Q25 | | | | | leaving home | |
| | | WATER IS ALREADY INCLUDED IN D2.3; | | 1 | While there is a relationship, 2.3 is | |
| | AR | | | (with | about treatment of wastewater not | |
| | " | | | i - | about other aspects of polluting | |
| ISWG | | | D2.6 | n) | water supplies. | |

| | | THIS IS A MASIVE ONE, UNCLEAR, WE SHOULD | | Partially | This list of sources and types of | Further review list of sources |
|------|-----|---|------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | | DISCUSS IT TOGETHER; | | accepted | pollution is long but there is no | of pollution in criterion and |
| | | , | | | suggestion that any are sufficiently | guidance D2.6 |
| | | | | | unimportant not to be included and | |
| | BL | | | | without this level of specificity the | |
| | | | | | criterion becomes rather | |
| | | | | | meaningless. It is important that this | |
| ISWG | | | D2.6 | | is further reviewed | |
| | | Suggested edited criterion. Substitute 'substances' | | Accepted | | In D2.6 replace 'compounds' |
| ISWG | ER | for 'compunds'; | D2.6 | | | with 'substances' |
| | | Quality of Water an Quality of Bathing Water | | Rejected | This further distinction is not | |
| | | | | (with | necessary. The practices required by | |
| | | | | justificatio | the criteria should address impacts | |
| | | | | n) | on water and bathing water quality. | |
| | | | | | Measurement of the latter is a | |
| Q23 | 120 | | D2.6 | | matter for destinations (GSTC-D) | |
| | | Insert 'ANNUALLY' minimise pollution; | | Rejected | Virtually all the criteria, and the | |
| | | | | (with | existence of a sustainable | |
| | IS | | | justificatio | management system, imply ongoing | |
| | 13 | | | n) | management and there is no need to | |
| | | | | | specificy 'annual' in an individual | |
| ISWG | | | D2.6 | | criterion. | |
| | | JUST WONDER IF WE COULD SUPPORT THE DARK | | Rejected | While the Dark Skies Initiative | |
| | | SKIES INITIATIVE AND CALL THIS ONE OUT | | (with | underlines the importance of | |
| | KSB | SEPARATELY, OR ANY OTHERS | | justificatio | including reference to light pollution | |
| | KJD | | | n) | in GSTC-I, specific reference to this | |
| | | | | | initiative would imbalance the | |
| ISWG | | | D2.6 | | content | |
| | | Indoor air quality | | | Air pollution is referred to and | |
| | | | | 1, | further specification to this level is | |
| | | | | justificatio | not required | |
| Q23 | 10 | | D2.6 | n) | | |

| | | Ethical, fair trade purchasing, noise and light pollution re dark skies | | No change required | All are included in various criteria | |
|-----|-----|--|------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Q23 | 130 | • | D2.6 | | | |
| | | I believe noise and light pollution are already referenced in criteria (?) | | No change required | They are covered in 2.6 | |
| Q23 | 145 | referenced in effectia (.) | D2.6 | required | | |
| | | noise and light pollution re dark skies | - | No change required | Dark skies' is an accepted reason for being concerned about light | |
| Q23 | 130 | | D1.1 | | pollution | |
| Q25 | 107 | Under section D3 - I would like to see a more proactive approach to enable organisations and institutions tasked with nature conservation and the management of protected areas to fund additional works through the development of 'voluntourism' activities where guests can support efforts financially and through the labour. | D3 | Partially accepted | Organizations should not only support conservation activity themselves but encourage their guests to do so. This should be clearly identified in indicators and guidance | Indicators and guidance for D3.4 and potentially C3 and A8 should make reference to encouraging giving by guests (money and other support) |
| Q25 | 23 | D3 should include a sub-aspect asking the company to provide a space for biodiversity education, explaining what the native and endemic flora and fauna are. | D3 | Partially accepted | Information and interpretation is currently covered in A8 but also relates to Section D | Add new criterion: "D3.X Visits to natural sites. The organisation follows appropriate guidelines for the management and promotion of visits to natural sites in order to minimise adverse impacts and maximise visitor fulfilment." |
| | | Flora & Fauna protection as well as the habitat | | Accepted | | Check contents so that wildife (flora and fauna) is covered as well as habitat where this may not be obvious |
| Q14 | 112 | | D3 | | | |

| | | EDITED – AGREED WITH BL "Wildlife species are not | | Rejected | Without the qualification in the | |
|-------|-----|--|------|-----------|--|------------------------------|
| | | harvested, consumed, displayed, sold, or traded" | | (with | latter part of the criterion, which | |
| | | That resteat, consumed, displayed, sold, or craded | | ١, | allows for sustainable use, this would | |
| | | | | n) | reject any form of zoo or aquarium | |
| | | | | ''', | (however well managed) including | |
| | | | | | those whose mission is to promote | |
| | | | | | and achieve the worldwide | |
| | AR | | | | conservation of animals and their | |
| | An | | | | habitats, and maybe even the | |
| | | | | | sustainable collection of wild nuts, | |
| | | | | | berries and mushrooms for use in | |
| | | | | | local dishes. While some people | |
| | | | | | would applaud this, it may be too | |
| | | | | | heavy as a blanket requirement. It | |
| ICVAC | | | D2.4 | | needs further consideration. | |
| ISWG | | EDITED "Wildlife species are not har justed | D3.1 | Daiostad | | |
| | | EDITED – "Wildlife species are not harvested, | | Rejected | Without the qualification in the | |
| | | consumed, displayed, sold, or traded" | | (with | latter part of the criterion, which | |
| | | | | - | allows for sustainable use, this could | |
| | | | | n) | reject any form of zoo or aquarium | |
| | | | | | (however well managed) including | |
| | | | | | those whose mission is to promote | |
| | ٥. | | | | and achieve the worldwide | |
| | BL | | | | conservation of animals and their | |
| | | | | | habitats, and maybe even the | |
| | | | | | sustainable collection of wild nuts, | |
| | | | | | berries and mushrooms for use in | |
| | | | | | local dishes. While some people | |
| | | | | | would applaud this, it may be too | |
| | | | | | heavy as a blanket requirement. It | |
| ISWG | | | D3.1 | | needs further consideration. | |
| | | | | Partially | Not appropriate for A6.2 but | Refer to CITES in |
| | 137 | A.6.2. Add: No food, souvenirs or items are | | accepted | relevant to Section D. Refer to CITES | Indicators/Guidance for D3.1 |
| | 10, | purchased nor sold that are listed by "CITES" | | | in Indicators/Guidance for D3.1 | |
| Q16 | | | D3.1 | | | |

| | | | | Daiastad | This refers to an omission in the text | |
|------|-----|---|------|--------------|--|------------------------------|
| | | | | Rejected | | |
| | 32 | Where is 3.1? | | (with | associated with the survey questions | |
| | 32 | Wilele 13 3.1. | | justificatio | | |
| Q25 | | | D3.1 | n) | | |
| | | Exclude any "recreational" activities that involve | | Rejected | D3.1 provides for the necessary | |
| | | violence or disrespect to animals, including hunting, | | (with | exclusion. | |
| | | fly-fishing, bull-fights and similar | | justificatio | | |
| Q23 | 93 | | D3.1 | n) | | |
| | | D3.1 missing from your survey list - typo IN D3.1a | | Accepted | | Amend D3.1 indicator to |
| | 116 | national rather than local laws should be the | D3.1 | | | include 'national'. Refer to |
| | 110 | reference. As guidance CITES should be the | ט3.1 | | | CITES as the reference for |
| Q25 | | reference for many of the implied activities. | | | | many of the activities. |
| | | Edit – AGREED WITH BL "No captive wildlife is held. | | Rejected | Without the qualification in the | |
| | | Living specimens of protected and wildlife species | | (with | latter part of the criterion, which | |
| | | are NOT kept." | | justificatio | provides exceptions under certain | |
| | | | | n) | conditions, this would reject any | |
| | | | | | form of zoo or aquarium (however | |
| | | | | | well managed) including those | |
| | AR | | | | whose mission is to promote and | |
| | | | | | achieve the worldwide conservation | |
| | | | | | of animals and their habitats. | |
| | | | | | Opinions differ on this and it would | |
| | | | | | need further consideration. A change | |
| ISWG | | | D3.2 | | to D3.2 is proposed that addresses | |

| | 1 | Edit – "No captive wildlife is held. Living specimens | | Rejected | Without the qualification in the | |
|------|-----|--|------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | , | | 1 - | • | |
| | | of protected and wildlife species are NOT kept." | | (with | latter part of the criterion, which | |
| | | Also BL. | | justificatio | provides exceptions under certain | |
| | | | | n) | conditions, this would reject any | |
| | | | | | form of zoo or aquarium (however | |
| | BL | | | | well managed) including those | |
| | - | | | | whose mission is to promote and | |
| | | | | | achieve the worldwide conservation | |
| | | | | | of animals and their habitats. | |
| | | | | | Opinions differ on this and it would | |
| | | | | | need further consideration. A change | |
| ISWG | | | D3.2 | | to D3.2 is proposed that addresses | |
| | | SHOULD WE ADD SOMETHING ABOUT INTRODUCED | | No change | This appears to be what is meant in | |
| | KSB | SPECIES BEING PROPERLY CAGED / CARED FOR, | | required | D3.2 | |
| ISWG | | ETC.? | D3.2 | | | |
| | | Although the D3 criteria consider implications for | | Accepted | | See changes to D3.2 and D3.5 |
| | | wildlife, they do not take into account welfare | | | | proposed below as a result of |
| | | implications that can come with captivity and | | | | similar point from the same |
| | | interaction with wild animals in the tourism industry. | | | | consultee. |
| | | Therefore, we strongly recommend to include | | | | |
| | | welfare aspects in sections D3.2 and D3.5. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Q23 | 144 | | D3.2 | | | |

| | 2. Currently section D3.2 and D3.5 both focus on the impacts that keeping and interacting with wildlife may have on populations and ecosystems. However, these criteria should also ensure the travel and tourism industry does not adversely impact on the welfare needs of wild animals, as well as considering the conservation of species. Recent research by Oxford University (Moorhouse, et al., 2015) has reported on the implications of the increasing demand for wildlife tourism and found that wildlife tourism attractions often have substantial negative | Accept | with populations and ecosystems but are also concenedd with the welfare of animals involved. Changes to D3.2 can be made as recommended and further reference made to the five freedoms mentioned. Criterion 3.5 does suggest greater concern for the welfare of pupulations rather than the animals concerned and this | for properly regulated activities (in compliance with local to international law) that ensure that the basic welfare needs of living specimens of wild fauna are met. Living specimens of protected and wildlife species |
|-----|--|--------|---|--|
| Q25 | the conservation of species. Recent research by Oxford University (Moorhouse, et al., 2015) has reported on the implications of the increasing demand for wildlife tourism and found that wildlife tourism attractions often have substantial negative effects that are unrecognised by the majority of tourists. This suggests an urgent need for tourist education and regulation of these wildlife tourist attractions worldwide. Through these criteria GSTC has an opportunity to improve the lives of the 550,000 wild animals held captive in the global tourism industry by setting standards that will drive tour operators to make sustainable choices that will in turn move wildlife tourism venues to improve practices that impact negatively on wild animal welfare or conservation. In addition to our recommendation to add an extra section (D4) to address animal welfare, we also recommend the below changes to sections D3.2 and D3.5. D3.2 – Currently the criteria for this section reads that 'no captive wildlife is held, except for properly regulated activities, in compliance with local to international law.' However local and international law often | D3.2 | five freedoms mentioned. Criterion 3.5 does suggest greater concern for the welfare of pupulations rather | welfare needs of living specimens of wild fauna are met. Living specimens of |
| ~ | lallows for wildlife to be held captive in wavs that do | | | |

| Q26 | | As the expansion of GSTC-HTO to GSTC-Industry provides opportunity to create detailed indicators for additional tourism industry sectors, including attractions or events where wildlife may be involved, we suggest further exploring the potential impacts these industry sectors have on the welfare and conservation needs of wild animals and encourage GSTC to consult wildlife experts and animal welfare organisations, such as World Animal Protection, in the development of any additional indicators. | D3.2 | Accepted | These points are helpful with respect to any future development of indicators for this specific sector or activities. | Address when any relevant new indicators are developed |
|------|-----|---|------|---|--|--|
| Q24 | 129 | (NOT) Alien Species | D3.3 | Partially accepted | While removal of this criteria is not justified, it is proposed to change it to invasive rather than 'alien' species | |
| ISWG | AR | Edit - INVASIVE species. AGREE WITH ER TO CHANGE TO INVASIVE RATHER THAN ALIEN. Agree with BL to separate. SUGGEST, HOWEVER, THE NATIVE SPECIES USED FOR LANDSCAPING BE COMBINED WITH A6.3. | D3.3 | Partially accepted | Invasive species' is more appropriate than 'alien species'. No need to split and will be treated separately anyway. Important to keep the reference here rather than in the wider context of A6.3. | |
| ISWG | BL | SPLIT IN TWO | D3.3 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | No need to split and will be treated separately anyway. | |
| Q25 | 50 | See previous comments but in environmental context. For example provision to not transport alien species in an urban is contentious if an urban hotel has indoor plant. | D3.3 | Partially accepted | The proposed change of the criterion to address invasive rather than alien species should meet the point raised. | |

| Q25 ISWG | | Change 'alien' to 'non-native' Suggested edited criterion to 'Invasive'. Suggest defining invasive species. | D3.3 | Rejected (with justificatio n) Accepted | While this suggestion would have been helpful as an alternative to 'alien', it is now proposed to address invasive species in Criterion 3.3 as the primary environmental concern. | Change 'Alien' to 'Invasive' in D3.3. Revise definition in glossary to invasive species |
|-------------|-----|---|------|---|---|---|
| Q25 | 117 | in criterion D3.4 Biodiversity conservation, one could include the use of native plant species (instead of various foreign ornamentals) in the establishment for e.g of hotel gardens and green spaces that would be differentiated from place to place and not uniform gardens as is now the case! | D3.3 | No change required | Is covered in D3.4 | |
| ISWG | AR | COMBINE WITH B1 FOR PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL BUDGET AND A8 FOR EDUCATION | D3.4 | Partially accepted | to businesses. The important issue | Indicators/guidance for each of B1, C3 and D3.4 should include an acknowledgement that consideration should be given to the cumulative contribution required when all three criteria are taken into account, especially for smaller organisations. Reference could also be made to recognition of varying priorities according to local context. |
| Q16 | | Under D3.4 Biodiversity conservation – in terms of the second indicator, it needs to state who the environmental education should be targeted at. | D3.4 | Accepted | In fact this Criterion is not really dealing with environmental education | Remove second indicator in D3.4 |
| ISWG | IS | Support of local NGOs, the involvement of voluntary sector (hotel and tour operator); | D3.4 | Accepted | Too specifcic for the criterion but can be included as indicator or guidance | Refer to communication with and support for local conservation NGOs in indicator or guidance |

| Q25 | 137 | D.3.4. Add: Communication/cooperation with local conservation organizations | D3.4 | Accepted | | Refer to communication with and support for local conservation NGOs in indicator or guidance |
|-------|----------|--|------|---|---|--|
| Q25 | 80 | D.3.4: Remove reference to annual budget, but encourage Financial support. | D3.4 | Accepted | The indicator as currently worded is too prescriptive and specific | Reword indicator to refer to level of support for biodiversity conservation related activity |
| Q25 | 65 | • IN-D4.4aiv: I suggest an additional sub-indicator around care of wildlife (endangered species or wildlife rescue). | D3.4 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | This would be too specific. The major issues of concern are covered in D3.2 and D3.5 | |
| ISWG | AR | AGREE WITH BL – SEPARATE, BUT TO 3, WITH POTENTIALLY COMBINING THE THIRD POINT WITH B1. 1) "Interactions with wildlife, ARE EXPEREINCED IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL REGULATIONS RECOGNISING POTENTIAL EFFECTS on the viability and behaviour of populations in the wild." 2) "Any disturbance of natural ecosystems is minimized, AND rehabilitated". 3) "There is a compensatory contribution to conservation management" CONSIDER COMBINING (3) WITH B1. | D3.5 | Partially accepted | with adjustment, as it is helpful to link to regulations which in turn, when local, should address the issue of cumulative impacts which would not be kept in the criterion is this change of wording is made | |
| No ne | ed to se | SPLIT IN TWO. | D3.5 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | No need to separate as can be treated separately when needed. | |
| Q25 | 53 | Don't pick or take plant species or seeds | D3.5 | Rejected (with justificatio n) | Too specific a detail. Provision of information for visitors on how to behave in natural sites is partly covered in A8 and needs to relate also to section D3 | |

| Q25 | | More specific guidelines for animal interaction and in particular elephant camps with painting, football shows, rides in poor conditions not respecting elephant welfare need to be developed | D3.5 | (with justificatio | Too specific a detail. Provision of information for visitors on how to behave in natural sites is partly covered in A8 and needs to relate also to section D3 | |
|------|-----|---|------|--------------------|---|---|
| ISWG | JK | Move with other wildlife questions to reinforce importance. | D3.5 | Accepted | | Reorder the crteria in D3 |
| | | D3.5 Wildlife interactions: Guidance should ask for the implementation of national and international recognised "Best practice guidelines" | | Accepted | | Change wording of D3.5 Wildlife interactions as follows: Interactions with wildlife, taking into account cumulative impacts, do not produce adverse effects on the animals concerned or on the viability and behaviour of |
| Q25 | | | D3.5 | | | populations in the wild. |
| Q23 | 21 | Promoting ethical wildlife viewing practices | D3.5 | _ | Is covered in D3.5 which will be strengthened | |
| Q23 | 147 | (wild) Fire prevention, not feeding wild animals | D3.5 | , , | Provision of information for visitors on how to behave in natural sites is partly covered in A8 and needs to relate also to section D3 | Review guidance for D3.5 and new D.X |
| Q23 | | This may be covered, but there should be a portion that disusses if these business help to educate their guests and visitors of the harmful affects of feeding local wildlife. (i.e. here in Costa Rica many hotels do not stop their guests from feeding the monkey hamburgers and other harmful food) | D3.5 | , , | Provision of information for visitors on how to behave in natural sites is partly covered in A8 and needs to relate also to section D3 | Review guidance for D3.5 and new D.X |
| Q23 | 29 | D1, D2, D3 | | No change required | Reaffirms the need for these sections | |